

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MI

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/533,365	03/22/2000	Masayuki Kitajima	980069B	9270
	7590 07/11/2003			
ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			EVERHART, CARIDAD	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2825	
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annlication No	I Application	am
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	Office Action Summary	09/533,365	KITAJIMA ET AL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAN NO BATE CO.	Caridad M. Everl		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports and the second section apports and the second section apports and the second second section second section section apports and the second section	pears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this	ety. communication.
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	June 2003 .		
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.	
3)  Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	ance except for fo Ex parte Quayle,	rmal matters, prosecution as to t 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	he merits is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 32,34,35 and 37 is/are pending in the	e application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	* •	ation.	
5)⊠	Claim(s) 34,35 and 37 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirer	nent	
	ion Papers	. c.collori requirer	non.	
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objecte	ed to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,	0 (4) (2) 21 (4)	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents har reau (PCT Rule 1	ve been received in this National 7.2(a)).	Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			l annlication)
_ a	The translation of the foreign language pro	visional applicatio	n has been received.	application).
Attachment		o priority diluci oc	. 5.5.5. 33 120 and/01 121.	
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:	
S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re	· ·	ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 12	

Application/Control Number: 09/533,365

Art Unit: 2825

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawakatsu (US 5,388,752) in view of either one of Sogo(JP408222849) or Mori et al ("Mori")(GB2181084A).

Kawakatsu discloses that the placing of the apparatus for soldering has been placed in an enclosure or chamber which has a non-oxidizing atmosphere such as N2(col. 1, lines 30-37). This is interpreted to include the flux vessel, because Kawakatsu states that "the entire soldering apparatus" or "the solder bath", so that if the entire apparatus did not include the flux vessel, there would be no need to state "or the solder bath". Kawakatsu discloses means for supplying inert gas, N2, to the chamber (col. 1, lines 33-36). Kawakatsu discloses measurement means for detecting the oxygen concentration (col. 4, lines 57-62), although the details of these means are not given. Though Kawakatsu discloses a method in which a nozzle is used to form an oxidation gas free zone, it is disclosed that the oxidation gas free chamber has also been carried out by Kawakatsu. Kawakatsu also discloses the measurement of the oxygen concentration in the nitrogen gas (col. 4, lines 65-67). Kawakatsu discloses circuit boards, although Kawakatsu is silent with respect to bumps(col. 1, lines 15-21).

Application/Control Number: 09/533,365

Art Unit: 2825

Kawakatsu is silent with respect to the flux vessel and the solder vessel being in the same chamber, although the implication is contained in the statement "the entire soldering apparatus", as discussed above. Kawakatsu is silent with respect to the details of the means for detecting the oxygen in the vessel, although Kawakatsu discloses that low oxygen concentration is needed, and discloses measuring the oxygen concentration in the N2 over the vessel.

Sogo discloses a chamber which includes both the fluxer and the solder vessel(abstract and Fig. 1 and Fig. 7).

Mori discloses the solder bath and a fluxing bath in the same apparatus (Fig. 1 and lines 112-120 and claim 2).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have included the flux bath with the solder vessel in one apparatus as taught by either Sogo or Mori in the apparatus taught by Kawakatsu in order to facilitate transfer of the substrate and in order to take advantage of the findings of Kawakatsu that the exposure to oxygen is detrimental, and therefore an oxygen free environment is provided and because Kawakatsu implied this in his disclosure as pointed out above.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 34, 35, and 37 are allowed.

The prior art of record does not teach or suggest inter alia the limitations with respect to the hanging support structure.

The prior art of record not relied upon is considered relevant to applicant's disclosure. Nakamura, et al. (US 6,340,110B1) is not prior art with respect to applicant's application, as the domestic priority date for the Nakamura patent is after the domestic priority date for applicant's application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caridad M. Everhart whose telephone number is 703-308-3455. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 703-308-1323. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

C. Everhart July 1, 2003